Technical Note: Sequencing

Data Processing of Nextera® Mate Pair Reads on Illumina Sequencing Platforms

Introduction

Mate pair sequencing enables the generation of libraries with insert sizes in the range of several kilobases (Kb). As such, aligned mate pair datasets can inform on genomic regions separated by larger distances compared to traditional paired-end datasets. To produce these large inserts, mate pair DNA libraries are generated using molecular biology protocols that differ from those used to generate standard Illumina paired-read libraries (Figure 1). Hence, the resulting data require additional, tailored processing before they can be used effectively in applications such as de novo assembly or structural variant detection. This technical note describes considerations and methods for tailored processing of mate pair sequencing data.

Several key features differentiate mate pair libraries from other libraries.

• The junction adapter sequence in mate pair libraries can occur at a random position within the template; therefore, its recognition during sequencing depends on its location within a template, the length of a cluster template, and the length of the reads.

• Sequenced read pairs align in an outward-facing (or ‘reverse-forward’, RF) orientation to one another rather than inward-facing (or ‘forward-reverse’, FR). This is a consequence of circularization, whereby the fragment ends are inverted and linked together.

Read Pair Orientation

DNA circularization produces an inverted sequence orientation at the junction of the two joined ends (Figure 1, second panel), resulting in fragments with both the desired RF orientation and the FR orientation. Those with the FR orientation can be adjusted by downstream trimming of the junction adapter sequence (described in more detail in the following section). The joined ends are subsequently selected by shearing the circularized DNA and enriching via a biotin pull-down tag located within the junction adapter. Figure 2 shows the possible junction adapter positions and mapping orientations resulting from circularization. Fragments from the remainder of the circularized DNA that do not contain the junction sequences will maintain the same sequence orientation expected for standard paired-end libraries (i.e., reads mapping in the FR orientation) as shown in Figure 2e. Since these fragments do not contain the biotinylated adapter, they should be filtered during the junction enrichment step; however, if enrichment is incomplete, these fragments will manifest as a peak at the low end of the insert size distribution (less than 1,000 bp).

However, it should be noted that even if the junction enrichment step is completed successfully, this may not always guarantee read pairs in the model RF orientation (Figure 2a). Figures 2b–d exemplify such cases. When circularized DNA is sheared within the junction adapter, the enriched sub-fragment contains only one end of the original large fragment rather than both ends. Furthermore, this shear pattern will cause one read to sequence into the junction adapter; downstream trimming of the junction adapter sequence will either remove this read entirely (Figure 2b) or else reduce the alignable length (Figure 2c). In cases where the circularized DNA is sheared close to the junction adapter, one read may again sequence into the adapter (Figure 2d). This will produce reads in the desired RF orientation; although the alignable length of one read will again be reduced after adapter trimming.
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Composition of example library templates from a mate pair experiment. For each example (a–e), the position of the junction adapter sequence is shown in green and the mapping orientation (either FR or RF, ‘forward-reverse’ and ‘reverse-forward’, respectively) of the resulting read pairs is shown to the right. Sections of genomic DNA sequence are shown in blue and the TruSeq adapter sequences are shown in purple and grey.

**Table 1: Mate Pair Adapter Sequence Elements**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adapter Element</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Circularized Duplicate Junction Adapter</td>
<td>CTGTCTCTTATACACATCTAGATGTGTATAAGAGACAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circularized Single Junction Adapter</td>
<td>CTGTCTCTTATACACATCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circularized Single Junction Adapter Reverse Complement</td>
<td>AGATGTGTATAAGAGACAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Read 1 External Adapter</td>
<td>GATCGGAAGAGCACACGTCTGAACTCCAGTCAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Read 2 External Adapter</td>
<td>GATCGGAAGAGCAGCAGTGCTGTAAGGGAAAGATGT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Adapter Trimming**

The junction adapter sequence (Table 1) originates from the Mate Pair Tagment Enzyme used to fragment the input DNA (Figure 1). In most cases, this sequence is appended to both ends of the DNA fragment and therefore is duplicated in an FR orientation during circularization (Table 1, adapter element 1). Less frequently, the adapter is only appended to one end of the DNA fragment, and the post-circularization sequence appears singly (Table 1, adapter elements 2–3).

In addition to these adapter sequences, the templates also contain external adapter sequences used in cluster amplification and hybridization of the sequencing primers (Table 1, adapter elements 4–5). As with standard paired-end library templates, sequencing reads may reach beyond the genomic insert and junction adapter sequence and extend into the external adapter sequence. The likelihood of this occurrence depends on the experimental read length as well as on the length of the final library template.

External adapters must be considered in the alignment process. If they are ignored, the number of reads that successfully align to the reference genome will decrease. For example, a read containing the junction adapter sequence has a very low probability of alignment since that sequence is exceedingly rare in genomic DNA. In cases where an aligner is able to align such a read, the resulting alignment will have a large edit distance, leading to increased mismatch rates and indel error rates.

For these data to be analyzed effectively, the adapter sequences are trimmed prior to alignment. The final orientation of the read pair depends on the location of the adapter sequence within the read and on the applied trimming strategy. Two trim strategies commonly employed are:

1. If the adapter occurs toward the 5’ end of the read (Figure 2c), the read sequence after the adapter is kept. Together with its partner this will yield paired-end reads in an FR orientation.
2. If the adapter occurs towards the 3’ end of the read (Figure 2d), the read sequence before the adapter is kept. Together with its partner this will yield mate pair reads in an RF orientation.

When correctly oriented mate pairs are sought, it may be preferable to
trim only as described in (2) above. This will result in all trimmed reads having the desired RF orientation. Even when the adapter is located at or within a few bases of the 5' end of the read—as described in (1) above—trimming strategy (2) can still be employed. However, the resulting sequence will contain all or mostly adapter sequence and may not align. Thus trimming only as described in (2) will result in a slight decrease in data yield, but will ensure that aligned read pairs maintain the desired RF orientation.

An alternative trimming approach is to apply either (1) or (2) on a per-read basis such that longest possible fragment is preserved. This is achieved by trimming from the end of the read closest to the junction adapter, resulting in a set of read pairs with both RF and FR orientations. Reads with an FR orientation will have an insert size distribution with a mean less than 1 Kb. This subset should be segregated during adapter trimming and aligned separately unless an aligner is used that can handle reads pairs with differing orientations and insert size distributions.

As described above, the junction adapter may appear in one of three forms (Table 1, adapter elements 1–3). Therefore, two rounds of adapter trimming must be performed, first trimming the single adapter and then its reverse complement (Table 1, adapter elements 2–3 respectively). This process will also comprehensively trim the duplicate junction adapter sequence as well. If external adapter sequence is present within a read, then the trimming process described above is optional. This is because reads containing external adapter sequence have a very low probability of alignment or assembly. In most cases, it is therefore preferable to throw out read pairs that contain external adapter sequence, thus saving time during the alignment or assembly process.

In order for trimming to be effective, the software tools used to perform read trimming must also be able to trim partial adapters. Examples of tools that can perform partial adapter trimming include Biopieces1 and adapterremoval2. Both tools will trim one or more adapters from either the 3' or 5' end of the read, though neither has the option of trimming from the end closest to the junction adapter. Ideally, the tool used for adapter trimming should also allow for potential sequencing errors in the adapter sequence. The degree to which mismatches are allowed will depend on the length of the adapter and on the desired sensitivity in identifying the adapter sequence. For example, a stringent mismatch setting (e.g., requiring zero mismatches) may cause the trimming algorithm to miss some of the adapter sequences; while a looser mismatch setting may cause the trimming algorithm to identify and trim sequences that do not originate from the adapter.

Secondary Analysis

Commercially-available secondary analysis tools such as Novoalign3 and CLC Genomics Workbench4 are able to analyze read pairs in an RF orientation. Certain open source tools such as BWA5, used for alignment, and Velvet6, used for de novo assembly, require the paired reads to be in an FR orientation. For these latter tools, read pairs in the RF orientation must be reverse complemented prior to alignment or assembly. If the sequence data contains a mixture of RF and FR pairs, then only the RF pairs should be reverse complemented.

If performing de novo assembly using Velvet and trimming from the end of the read closest to the internal adapter (i.e., per-read trimming to preserve the longest possible fragment), it is conventional to separate the reads pairs that are trimmed according to strategy (1) versus strategy (2) into separate fastq files. Each fastq file can then be passed separately to Velvet for assembly via command line arguments, `-shortPaired -fastq strategy1.fastq -shortPaired2 -fastq strategy2.fastq`. Velvet makes use of the insert size distribution of each fastq file during the assembly process. Since the FR reads defined by strategy (1) have a lower mean insert size than the RF reads defined by strategy (2), passing them simultaneously in a single fastq file would confound the algorithm and result in a suboptimal assembly. This topic is addressed in more detail in the Velvet user manual.

Most de novo assemblers are based on the manipulation of de Bruijn graphs. Simply, this approach involves breaking reads into smaller pieces, known as k-mers (where k denotes its length in bases), in order to reduce the computational load of assembly. To achieve contiguous de novo assemblies, long k-mers are crucial. After adapter trimming, the average read length of a paired 100 bp run is approximately 90 bp. The maximum k-mer length is obviously bounded by the read length; for trimmed 90 bp reads, reasonable k-mers sizes are between 55 and 60 for a bacterial genome. The k-mer size is a configurable parameter in most assembly tools; so it is reasonable to try several k-mer sizes and choose the assembly that offers an optimal combination of scaffold sizes and assembly errors. Tools such as VelvetOptimiser7 automate the process of choosing the optimal k-mer size.

Example Applications of Mate Pair Data

The following data generated by E. coli and human samples demonstrate that Nextera mate pair libraries support both small and complex genomes.

De Novo Assembly of E. coli K-12 MG1655 Genome

Nextera mate pair library sequencing allows the user to tune the experimental insert size distribution of the reads, meaning that read pairs from the same experiment can offer both long-range and short-range sequence information. The ultimate effect of this additional information is improved de novo assemblies.

![Figure 3: E. coli Mate Pair Library Insert Size Distribution](image-url)
To demonstrate the use of mate pair libraries for de novo assembly, the genome of E. coli K-12 MG1655—a laboratory strain of bacteria used to benchmark many NGS applications—was assembled. A MG1655 library was generated as described in the Nextera Mate Pair Sample Preparation User Guide (PN 15035209). Each mate pair read was sequenced on the MiSeq platform for 150 cycles resulting in a total of 300 bases of sequencing data per mate pair library. Total data yield was 1.8 Gb of raw data, the median insert size was 3,125 bp, and the insert size distribution is shown in Figure 3.

Prior to performing de novo assembly, the data were pre-processed as follows. In short, the adapters were trimmed and the RF reads were reverse complemented. Additionally, the data were randomly down-sampled to 500 Mb so as to limit the mean coverage to 50–100×, thereby reducing the computational requirements of the assembler. The VelvetOptimiser software was used for assembly. The optimal k-mer size was 99 bp. Summary statistics for the assembly are shown in Table 2.

To confirm the consistency of the scaffolded assembly with the reference genome, a dot plot was constructed comparing the alignment of the scaffold to the reference genome (Figure 4). Dot plots similar to the one shown in Figure 4 can be generated with tools such as MUMmer. The plot shows that the assembled scaffold correlates perfectly with the reference genome. The observed discontinuity (i.e., two lines) between the position of the assembly and the reference is due to the circular genome structure of E. coli; that is, the first base in the assembled scaffold is unlikely to align with the first base of the reference genome because the first position in the assembly is arbitrarily selected.

To further assess run quality, E. coli read pairs were aligned to the reference genome using BWA. This alignment was then compared to the alignment generated from a standard 2 × 250 bp paired-end MiSeq run. The results are summarized in Table 3. To assess coverage, reads having a mapping quality score (MAPQ) less than 1 were filtered out. Due to the additional information offered by long-range mate pairs, the percentage of the genome having unique coverage is significantly improved, as demonstrated by the 5000× reduction in the number of bases with coverage below 8×.

**Sequencing of A Human NA12877 Sample**

A Nextera mate pair library of a human male (NA12877) sample was sequenced on the HiSeq 2500 platform for 2 × 100 cycles and aligned using BWA. The raw data yield was 67 Gb, and the aligned yield was 45 Gb, corresponding to a mean coverage of approximately 16×. The loss in aligned yield is primarily due to adapter trimming. The median...
Table 5: Coverage Results from a Mixed Data Set

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Mate Pair/ Paired-End Results</th>
<th>Paired-End Only Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean Coverage</td>
<td>31×</td>
<td>31×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Coverage &gt; 8×</td>
<td>98.08%</td>
<td>97.96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Coverage &gt; 8× (chr21 LINEs &gt;2 kb)</td>
<td>99.52%</td>
<td>98.78%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Coverage results from a mixed dataset of mate pair and paired-end reads versus a dataset containing paired-end reads only after alignment to the hg19 reference genome. insert size of the data was approximately 3,400 bp with an insert size distribution as shown in Figure 5. Summary statistics for the alignment are listed in Table 4.

The insert size per read pair was calculated after aligning the data to the hg19 reference genome using BWA. Histogram summary statistics were calculated across all values. The median insert size was 3,400 bp.

paired-end data having an average insert size of 300 bp. Coverage of the mixed dataset was then compared to that of the paired-end data alone, with both datasets sampled to the same coverage depth of approximately 31×. Coverage was further evaluated across interspaced repeat regions in chromosome 21. Repeat regions are notoriously difficult to cover because aligners identify multiple locations to which the paired-end reads may align. Analysis was restricted to LINEs (Long Interspersed Nuclear Elements, a type of repeat region ranging from 0.1 Kb to 28 Kb) greater than 2 Kb in length. The results, summarized in Table 5, demonstrate superior coverage by the mixed dataset over paired-end data alone.

Summary

Mate pair library sequencing can provide detailed information about genomic regions that are separated by large distances. In order to efficiently analyze data from Illumina mate pair libraries, tailored processing of mate pair sequencing data is necessary based on the unique features of these libraries.
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